



Here's your chance to win a **2004 PROCESS INNOVATION AWARD!** Just fill out this Nomination Form in its entirety, and submit it to Kinetic Information by September 27, 2004. (Be sure to include the appropriate processing fee: \$150.00 (US) when submitting on paper, \$125.00 (US) when submitting via e-mail to awards@kineticinfo.com.)

This form contains several sections that are designed to capture information about specific aspects of the nominated solution:

Part I: About the Nominator

These questions ask you to provide fairly basic details about the organizations and people who are nominating the solution.

Part II: About the Nominee

These questions ask you to provide fairly basic details about the organization that purchased the nominated solution and the people who helped implement it.

Part III: About the Nominated Solution

These questions ask you to provide information about the nominated solution, including a rundown of its implementation cost, the number of users it encompasses, the computers, operating systems, and technologies it uses, and other pertinent information.

Part IV: The Gory Details

These essay-type questions are your opportunity to tell us more about the solution you are nominating and why it is so good. Please answer these on separate sheets of paper, and in the order in which they appear here. Also, please keep your responses down to three or four paragraphs per question. We're not looking to make more work for you by asking for a book – we'd just like to hear, in your own words, what's so special about this particular application.

Finally, please be aware that by submitting this nomination, you are giving Kinetic Information and IMERGE Consulting permission to speak and write about your system without restriction as part of our regular activities. We're always looking for successful implementations to highlight and want to be sure we can give your excellence its due every chance we get.

And that's it! Thanks for your submission, and good luck.





| Co-Sponsored by | | | | | | | | | |
|-----------------|---|----|---|---|---|---|---|--|--|
| | ۰ | ķi | ņ | e | ŗ | g | e | | |

PART I: ABOUT THE NOMINATOR

| INAIIIC | _ | | |
|----------------------------|------------------------|---------------|----------------------------|
| Title | | | |
| Company | | | |
| Address | | | |
| City | State | | Zip |
| Country | | | |
| Phone | Fax | E-r | nail |
| Role in Creating the Sol | lution | | |
| Type of Organization | | | |
| ☐ Systems Integrato ☐ VAR | or | 0 | Vendor Other (specify) |
| | | | |
| Who should be our second | ondary contact for inf | formation abo | at the nominated solution? |
| | · | | at the nominated solution? |
| Name | , | | |
| Name | , | | |
| Name Title Company | , | | |
| Name Title Company Address | | | |
| Name Title Company Address | State | | Zip |







PART II: ABOUT THE NOMINEE

| 3. | What is the name of the organization are you nominating? | | | | | | | |
|----|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|
| 4. | For which department(s) was the nominated solution developed? | | | | | | | |
| 5. | Who at this organization should be our primary contact for information about the nominated solution? | | | | | | | |
| | Name | | | | | | | |
| | Title | | | | | | | |
| | Company | | | | | | | |
| | Address | | | | | | | |
| | City State Zip | | | | | | | |
| | Country | | | | | | | |
| | Phone Fax E-mail | | | | | | | |
| 6. | To whom at this organization should the award be presented if the nominated solution is chosen as a winner? | | | | | | | |
| | Name Title | | | | | | | |
| | Phone Fax E-mail | | | | | | | |
| 7. | In which of the following vertical market or horizontal application areas is the nominated organization primarily active? (Please check only one.) □ Education □ Customer Relationship Management □ Financial Services □ Finance & Accounting □ Manufacturing □ Hosting Services □ Government □ Human Resources | | | | | | | |
| | ☐ Health Care ☐ Portals | | | | | | | |
| | ☐ Insurance ☐ Procurement ☐ Professional Services Automation ☐ Utilities ☐ Supply Chain Management | | | | | | | |

☐ Other (specify)

☐ Other (specify)







PART III: ABOUT THE NOMINATED SOLUTION

| <u>A.</u> | The P | <u>Purchase Process</u> | | |
|-----------|-------|---|------------------------------|--|
| 8. | When | n was the solution installed? (mm/yyyy) | | |
| 9. | When | n did it enter full production? (mm/yyyy) | | |
| 10. | Who | was the primary developer of the nominate | d solution | |
| | | Major Software Vendor Independent Software Vendor Internal MIS Resources Internal Resources with Vendor/Integrator | 0 | Hardware Vendor VAR System Integrator End User Organization |
| 11. | How | many products and/or vendors were evaluate | ated before | e the final selection was made? |
| 12. | How | long did it take to evaluate the candidate so | lutions? | |
| 13. | How | long did it take to authorize the purchase o | f the soluti | ion? |
| 14. | How | long did it take to implement the solution? | | |
| 15. | Whic | ch of the following implementation services | were utiliz | ed? |
| | | Feasibility Study/ROI Study Strategy Development/Consulting Process Analysis Maintenance | 0 | Toolkit Training Other Training End-User Training Other (specify) |
| 16. | Who | was involved in the evaluation process and | | , = •, |
| | | Line of Business Management Line of Business Users IT Management | | Records Management Senior Management Other (specify) |
| 17. | How | much did the nominated solution cost to in | nplement? | \$ |
| | | _ % Non-custom software | % Integ % Chan % Train | ration/development ge management/consulting ing/installation |
| 19. | How | long did/will it take to recoup this investm | ent? | |





| Co-Sponsored by | | | | | | | | | |
|-----------------|--|---|---|---|---|---|---|--|--|
| | | Ė | ņ | e | ŗ | g | e | | |

| <u>B.</u> | Usage | /Vo | lume |
|-----------|-------|-----|------|
| | | | |

| 20. How | many users: | | | | |
|-----------|---|---|--|-------|--|
| • | access the system in total access the system remote access the system with a access the system from o do you expect to access the | ly? (% c wireless <u>ther</u> org | device? (% or no. ganizations? (% or | _ |) |
| | in 2004? in 2 | 005? | in 200 | 6? | in 2007? |
| | t volume of documents an | | in three years? | nateo | , |
| | No. of documents handled Amount of data managed o | • | Today | | In Three Years |
| C. Under | lying Infrastructure | | | | |
| | what basis is the nominated | | | | |
| □ | Internal Facilities Management Other (specify) | | Outsourced Offshored ASP | | |
| 23. Whice | ch categories of technolog | y does t | he nominated solu | ıtior | ı use? |
| <u>Cc</u> | NTENT-RELATED | | | | |
| _ | Archiving/COLD/ERM Content Management Data Warehousing Document Management ERP Other (specify) | | Forms Imaging OCR/ICR Portals Records Manage | | Search/Retrieval/Indexing Scanning Storage |







| Knowledge-Related | |
|--|-------------------------------|
| | Data Warehousing 🏻 Help Desk |
| 0 | E-Learning |
| , I | Email |
| | Forums |
| ☐ Other (specify) | |
| | |
| | |
| Delivery -Related | |
| ☐ Business Process Management | ☐ Printing |
| □ EDI | □ Web |
| ☐ Messaging | ☐ Wireless |
| ☐ Peer-to-Peer | ☐ Workflow |
| □ Other | |
| | |
| | |
| Interoperability-Related | INTEROPERABILITY WITH |
| | CRM |
| ☐ Application Servers☐ Directory Services | ☐ Email |
| ☐ EAI | □ ERP |
| ☐ Middleware | ☐ Forums |
| ☐ Web Services | ☐ Instant Messaging |
| □ XML | ☐ Sales Force Automation |
| ☐ Other | Other |
| | |
| | |
| <u>Other</u> | |
| ☐ Business Activity Monitoring | Digital Video |
| ☐ Computer-Integrated Telephony | |
| Digital Asset Management | ☐ Voice Synthesis/Recognition |
| ☐ Other | , |
| | |







24. What type(s) of computers are encompassed by the nominated solution? (Please check all that apply, indicating quantity where appropriate.)

QUANTITY

| <u>Type</u> | <u>Se</u> | <u>rver</u> <u>C</u> | <u>lient</u> |
|--|----------------------|----------------------|-----------------|
| □ Mainframe □ Workstation □ Desktop PC □ Notebook PC □ Desktop Mac □ Notebook Mac □ Mobile Devices (PDAs, □ Other (specify) | tablets, etc.) | | |
| 25. Which operating system(s) do | es the solution use? | (Please check | all that apply. |
| OPERATING SYSTEM | <u>Client</u> | <u>Server</u> | |
| Windows 3.x/9.x/Me Windows NT/2000 Windows XP Linux SCO-Unix HP-UX AIX Solaris Palm Mac OS Java Other (specify) | 000000000 | 0000000000 | |
| Strict (specify) | | ♬ | |







PART IV: THE GORY DETAILS

- 1. Describe the nominated solution's primary application (e.g., claims processing) and system configuration (e.g., major platforms) in terms a new user would understand.
- 2. What makes this solution outstanding or unique? (Please list in bullet form.)
- 3. What were the top five business imperatives that led to its implementation (e.g., to cut costs, improve customer service)?
- 4. Are these imperatives typical of the vertical market in which the nominee is active, or were they particular to the nominee at the time?
- 5. What process did the nominee follow when evaluating and selecting its solution?
- 6. What were the top five reasons the nominee chose the technology partners it did (e.g., price, reputation, reseller recommendation), and what other partners did he/she consider?
- 7. What were the top five barriers to implementing the nominated solution (e.g., enabling interoperability, organizational politics, technology convergence)?
- 8. What technical tasks were involved in implementing the solution and bringing it into full production?
- 9. What process management and cultural issues had to be addressed to implement the solution and achieve positive ROI, and how were these issues addressed?
- 10. Describe and quantify the benefits the nominee received from its implementation in terms of its productivity, profit, positioning, or competitive standing.